P.F. 35892

G. R. S. IX-5]

Precious references. 1891-92

192 .

(L.R)

File No 1293/91

Serial Nos 1—4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1—4

Part B for • October 1892.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Venkanna &c Limmana Gudibande Taluk, Regarding the Nominations of another Jamadar of Thamb-Sa

WD 2333—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Precis

Petition No 959 of 1892

Venkamma - Petr

(1) On the 27th Sept 1891 Venkamma presented a petition to the Dy Commr Kolar that her husband Lakshminarasappa had been the regd Shanbhog of Dapparthi in Gudibande Taluk that on his death about 12 years ago a divided 'gratee' of the deceased husband had been appointed Shanbhog, that she had no means of livelihood, that the said Shanbhogi should be registered in her name in accordance with the late Chief Commrs Procs 10772/8 of 25.1.77 and the Dewans Procs No. 659-67 of 8-5-83 and that she might be permitted to nominate a gumastha from whom the Shanbhogi work might be taken.

The Dy Commr ordered the Dy Amildar of Gudibanda to submit a report in the matter.

6-10-91

Venkamma stated before the Dy Amildar

(2) 22-11-91 that she had no "Dakhale" relating to the Shanbhogi

that she had no means of livelihood & that the Shanbhogi should be registered in her name.

The Dy amildar thereupon reported to the Chickballapur Sub Div. Office that the Shanbhogi Register, merely mentioned that Shanbhog Lakshminarsappa was dead that his wife Venkamma had no male issue and that at her request her brother-in law's son Narasingappa was appointed Shanbhog. (3) 31-12-91

The Dy amildar further reported that since the said Narasingappa had been connected with a certain bank his conduct had been reported, & that he had been thereupon removed from the office and his younger brother Naranappa was appointed under order No. 155/183 of 25-12-89

The sub Div. Officer on receipt of the above report ordered that since this Shanbhogi case had been already disposed of he could not interfere with it and that Shanbhog Naranappa (4) 7-1-92

(5)

The following endorsement may be issued.—

Petitioner having agreed to take maintenance in the Mudumbakam case, her appeal cannot be admitted.—

V.P
17/10/92

V.P
18/10

should be directed to make some private arrangement with Venkamma regarding her maintenance.

On the 15th April 1892 at the Poliji distribution the parties appeared before the Sub Divn. Officer and came to an agreement, Narasappa the officiating Shanbhog agreeing to pay Rs 12 per annum to Venkamma and she to receive the same from him.

Venkamma now appeals to this office against the sub Divn officer's order of 7.1.92:

She alleges:-

That in accordance with the late Chief Cmmr's Proc. refd to above the Shanbhogi should be registered in her name in preference to a divided quatee of her husband.

That in accordance with the Dewan's Proc. refd to above if the quondam fails to give the allowance, he should be removed and another appointed.

That the present Shanbhog is not maintaining her.

BCh

P.J.O.

The agreement Come to between the parties on 15th April 1892 has been duly recorded.

The Sub-Div Officer states that the Petnr: is estopped by her own statement from Complaining.

The records in connection with the appointment of Narrinyappa & Letters of his brother Narrappa in 1886 have not been received & will be sent for if found necessary.

The Pouge of the scale is Rs: 4 3 4 0 per annum.

The Amildar reports that Narrinyappa was Cultivating registered in 1878 at the request of the Petnr:

(General Serial No. 32.)

2

1892.

No. 959

Name of Petitioner. Venkamma

Village. Tirumani

Taluk. Gudibande

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 20-6-92

Date of Receipt. 27-6-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

(1) Stamps correct
(2) Order produced
(3) appeal in time
(4) Rule I Cl. 1

Call for Proc. with the usual report. RD. 5/7/92

L. R. 129-91

R. 6/7

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner appeals to this office against the order (Communicating Memo) No 3407-A-92 of the Sheriadapur Sub. Div. officer on the following grounds:-

1 the present man in office is a son of a divided "gratee" of my husband.

2 that the sub-div. officer's order is opposed to law.

3 that there is a circular no 10772 dated 25th January 1877 issued by the Hon

Chief Commissioner according to which when a Shanbog dies leaving a wife and a divided grantee the Shanbogi should be registered in the name of the wife only.

4. That there is also Devi's Progs no 659-67 dated 8th May 1883 " according to which a gumastha should give maintenance and in the event of his failing to give maintenance he may be dismissed and another gumastha may be appointed.

5 That as the person who is now looking after the Shanbogi is not maintaining the petitioner she will have no means of livelihood if she is prevented from appointing another gumastha.

The following is the substance of the order appealed against—

"This shanbagi case has already been decided, final orders have been issued and those orders have also been carried out. Under these circumstances, we cannot make any other arrangements. But as petitioner represents that she has no means of livelihood &c, Narayappa who is at present doing the (Shanbagi) work may be asked to make some private arrangement between himself and the petitioner".

VSC

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
LETTER DEPARTMENT
RECD. No. 3953 R
DATE 29-2-92

REGD. No. 959 R
DATE 27-6-92

2

10 FEB 92

By Order

Under Secretary

No Pet
H.35—92-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 959
dated 20-6-92 received at the
Dewan's Office from Venkamma
residing in Jeevanni village
Gundlupet Taluk, praying
that she may be permitted
to remarry as other Lingayat
to do the Shanbagi duties
of the village, a caste peculiar to Lingayats
does not permit [redacted] remarriage
is forwarded, together with its two
enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar for submission
of Rs 75 with a report on the
facts of the case and on the
points taken in the petition.
2 The petition should be
returned

By Order,

Draft

12 July 1892

Chief Secretary.

Send- issued
12/7.

L.R. 129-91-2

(General Serial No. 80.)

1742

1892. Pet 92.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

(4)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 6th August 1892.

Despatched 14th

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & 1 Piece.

General No. 599
No. 124

In reply to

SUBJECT.

His official Memorandum
No. 35 dated the 12th ultimo,
forwarding for submission
of proceedings with a petit
a petition from Venkatamma
residing in Kamasandra village
Yedivanda Sub-Taluk.

With reference to
the

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

In the same No. 599-
P.A. 8-92

I have, have the honour to submit herewith, as per
12
accompanying Receipt the records bearing on the
Petition, together with ——— copy of the Petit-
ioner's Solicitor's letter No. 11 dated the
2nd instant explaining the circumstances of the
case.

for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dam

Sub Division office.
Chickballapur
Dated 2nd August 1892.

No 116

From

The Sub-Division officer
Chickballapur

4

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Sir,

With reference to the Bowringpet Office Memorandum on petition No: 959 forwarded for my report with Your No: 185/119 dated 15th ultimo, I have the honor to forward the accompanying papers. In this Memorandum you have instructed me to report whether the statements in the petition are true. I have inquired into the matter. The petitioner was, on his death bed, the only witness of the occurrence. Narsingappa, nephew of the deceased was afflicted. Narsingappa's name was entered in the Jubble Taxis which were in this District in 1868, the then Deputy Commissioner did inquire into and appointed his brother Narsappa in 1869, and Narsappa has been officiating ever

since. Vencamma complained last year that the office had not been registered in her name, that she was not allowed to appoint her own Gumasta and that the officiator was not making her any allowance for her maintenance. She was told in reply from this office that the arrangement made in 1889 for filling up the office could not be disturbed but that the officiator was bound to make some provision for her maintenance. At the time of the distribution of the Potgi the parties appeared before me and after a good deal of discussion, expenditure of lungs and haggling the officiator agreed to pay and vencamma to receive Rupees 12 per annum for her maintenance. Their statement agreeing to these terms is among the records. It does not seem therefore that Vencamma has any reason to complain and she is estopped by her own statement from complaining.

I have &c:

(Signed) A. Krishnasami Iyengar

Sub-Division officer

"Copy"

for Deputy Commissioner

Madras Revenue Office

Petition Department

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 959 — dated 20th June 1892 received at the Board's office from Sankaranna, residing in Sivanai village, Kudiravetta Taluk, praying that he may be permitted to nominate another person to do the Shanbogi duties of the village as the present man does not pay anything for his maintenance, is forwarded together with its enclosures, to the Collector, for submission of Proceedings with a report on the facts of the case and on the points taken in the petition.

2. The petition should be returned

Returned. 15

By order

(Signed) J. Arundel Rose

Chief Secretary

Deputy Commissioner's office

Kolar District

No. 185/119 Cm 3 Dated 16/19 July 1892

Copy of the above, and the original enclosure herewith received are forwarded to the Sub-Division Officer Chickballapur for early submission to this office of the entire proceedings connected with the case together with the report called for by Government. & the papers returned.

K Thimmaiah

for Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

Issued

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
29 October 1892.

Endorsement granted to Veg. Kamma, residing in Tirumani village, Gudibanda Taluk, in reply to her Petition Registered No. 959 dated 20-6-92.

Petitioner is informed that she having agreed to take maintenance and withdrawn the case, her appeal cannot be admitted,

2 The enc: are returned.

L.R. 129-91-4

25/10/92

28/10

"By Order,"

25/10/92
for Chief Secretary.

N

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

End-t issued L.6

Copy to the Deputy Comm: Kolar No 5
with the two papers sent with this No 594/124

(5)

25th August 92.

5855 Rg 1/10/92 "Register"
Pet Fr 35-92

Draft

Assistant
for Chief Secretary

BM